

STAT ONLY

Comments by Senior Seminar Members about Program Participants

A. Colonel White was complimented frequently for his welcoming remarks and thanks were expressed for his hosting of the luncheon.

B. Carl Duckett's presentation was "fascinating," "just right amount of time." Some called it "outstanding." Few rated it less than "excellent."

C. Ed Proctor "did not come across at all." Ambassador Mathews referred to this presentation as "unfortunate." At their in-house critique seminar members used comments such as: "disastrous," "I was ready to tear into him," there was repeated "overstress and emphasis on Agency objectivity" to the implied point that no other Federal agency element was objective, "defensive," seemed "scared to death," etc.

D. Tom Karamessines did come over very well. They "admired his selection of language," particularly "his verb-uses." They wished



E. George Kisevalter's presentation drew unexpected high praise. They agreed it "should definitely be left in the program." It was particularly appreciated as "a change in pace" and "ideally placed after luncheon."

F. There were mixed reactions to Sherman Kent's presentation. His comments were quite clear at the beginning and the first portion of his talk was highly valued. There was a feeling that things got "hazy at the end."

G. All members were very impressed by the Director's handling of questions. They felt the responses to what was asked of him were candid. Several felt constrained about raising questions in the light of his opening remarks; these members believed they had "detected a lack of candor (understandably)" by what "was left unsaid at that time."

STAT ONLY

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003100110020-6

Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003100110020-6